

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,455	04/24/2001	Sung Lyong Lee	Q62057	1907	
7590 06/02/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			SHAPIRO, LEONID		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB			
			2673	- 11	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/840,45	5	LEE, SUNG LYONG				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	Na Cara	Leonid Sh	·	2673				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will sply received by the Office later than three months after dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 67 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. ays, a reply within the statu pry period will apply and wil , by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	tely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed (on 30 December 18	999					
· —	• •	☐ This action is no						
	Since this application is in condition for	-		secution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 6-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3, 6-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10) 🔲 🧻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)[\square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[1	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2673

•

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 3, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chernock et al (US Patent no. 6,229,524 B1) in view of Blonstein et al. (US Patent No. 5,955,988) and Ohyama et al. (US Patent No. 5,751,373).

As to claim 3, Chernock et al. teaches an OSD image display apparatus (See Fig. 2, item 1, Col. 1, Lines 4-10 and Col. 4, Lines 54-56), comprising: an OSD source remote controller for generating a cursor display command on a screen (See Fig. 3, items 1-12, tab, enter, options, Col. 5, Lines 54-67); an OSD source for initially transmitting OSD cursor display data (See Fig. 2, items Frame 1, 30,40,50,60, in description See Col. 5, Lines 43-54); a display apparatus for storing OSD cursor display data transmitted by the OSD source in the memory (See Col. 4, Lines 46-63), and displaying the cursor display data on the screen by reading the cursor display data stored in the memory in response to the cursor display location information (See Fig. 2, items Frame 1, 30,40,50,60, in description See Col. 5, Lines 43-54), a storage device for setting display information indicating that the OSD source is a product which can store OSD cursor display data provided from the display apparatus (See Fig. 2, item 60, in description See Col. 5, Lines 54-67 and Col. 4, Lines 54-63).

Art Unit: 2673

Chernock et al. teaches to reposition the cursor from one hot spot to another using the tab key or arrow keys (See Fig. 2, items Frame 1, 30,40,50,60, in description from See Col. 5, Lines 56 to Col. 6, Line 20).

Chernock et al. does not show transmitting only cursor display location information.

Blonstein et al. teaches transmitting only cursor display location information by moving the cursor on the TV screen in alignment with pointing device movement (See Fig. 7, in description See from Col. 9, Line 59 to Col. 10, Line 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the cursor movement transmitting only the cursor display information as shown by Blonstein et al. in Chernock et al. apparatus in order provide the user with a visual feedback (See Col. 3, Lines 19-22 in Blonstein et al. reference).

Blonstein et al. and Chernock et al. do not teach a storage device is a register.

Ohyama et al. teaches a storage device is a register (See Fig. 1, items 11, 16, Col. 8, Lines 57-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the storage device as a register as shown by Ohyama et al. in Blonstein et al. and Chernock et al. apparatus in order to be able to read out the position of cursor from the cursor position control register (See Col. 8, Lines 23-32 in Ohyama et al. reference).

As to claim 7, Chernock et al. teaches an MPEG source for supplying an MPEG transport stream to the display apparatus (See Fig. 1, items 100, 160,170, in

Art Unit: 2673

description See Col. 5, Lines 11-22); an OSD generator display data in digital format (See Col. 4, Lines 38-45); a controller for controlling the MPEG source and OSD generator (See from Col. 4, Line 64 to Col. 5, Line 10).

Chernock et al. does not show an OSD generator for generating display data in bitmap format. Since advantages of using bitmap format were not shown, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement an OSD generator for generating display data in bitmap format in Chernock et al. apparatus in order to provide the user with a simple interface to navigate a cursor among current hot spots (See from Col. 2, Line67 to Col. 3, Line1 in Chernock et al. reference).

As to claim 8, Chernock et al. teaches a command input part for receiving a command signal from the OSD source remote controller and providing the command signal to the controller (See from Col. 4, Line 64 to Col. 5, Line 10).

As to claim 9, Chernock et al. teaches an Mpeg decoder for decoding an MPEG transport stream and outputting image data (See Fig. 1, items 100, 160,170, in description See Col. 5, Lines 11-22); a buffer for buffering OSD data (See Col. 4, Lines 46-64); an overlapper for overlapping the image data and OSD data and providing overlapped data to the screen (See Col. 4, Lines 54-49); a controller for controlling the MPEG decoder, the buffer, the overlapper, the memory, and the screen (See Fig. 1, items 100, 160,170, in description See Col. 5, Lines 3-22).

As to claim 10, Chernock et al. teaches a display apparatus remote controller (See from Col. 4, Line 64 to Col. 5, Lines 22).

Art Unit: 2673

As to claim 11, Chernock et al. teaches a command input part for receiving a command signal from the display apparatus remote controller (as part of controller) and providing the command signal to the controller (See Col. 5, lines 4-22).

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chernock et al., Blonstein et al. and Ohyama et al. (US Patent No. 5,751,373). as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Inoue (US Patent No. 6, 496, 896).

Chernock et al., Blonstein et al. and Ohyama et al. do not show the register as an output asynchronous plug register.

Inoue teaches Count register with the plug structure of asynchronous communication (See Fig. 53, items Transmission and rReception Sides, Col. 58, Lines 12-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the storage device as an output asynchronous plug register as shown by Inoue in Chernock et al., Blonstein et al. and Ohyama et al. apparatus in order to comply to standard communication format (See Col. 1, Lines 13-15 in Inoue reference).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 03-17-04with respect to claims 3, 6-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2673

Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ls 06.01.04

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6